

① COROLLARIES ① an INDEP of CHOICE of $R \in (0, R_0)$.

② $f^{(n)}(z_0) = n! \cdot a_n$

③ $\frac{1}{R_0} \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$ } CORRECTED FROM LECTURE.

SO: IF $U = \mathbb{C}$ THEN $R_0 = \infty$ AND $\sum_1 \infty (z - z_0)^n$ CONVERGES IN ALL of \mathbb{C} .

② THE CONVERSE TO EVERYTHING [MORIERA'S THM]

COROLLARY: SUPPOSE $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ CTS AND INTEGRATES TO ZERO ABOUT TRIANGLES. THEN f IS HOLOMORPHIC.

PROOF: INTEGRATES TO ZERO ABOUT TRIANGLES IMPLIES HAS PRIMITIVES IN DISCS. SUPPOSE F DEFINED IN $D = D(z_0, R)$ AND $F' = f$. SO F IS HOLOMORPHIC. SO F IS ANALYTIC. SO $F' = f$ IS ANALYTIC. SO f IS HOLOMORPHIC. \square

③ THE FUNDAMENTAL THM of COMPLEX ANALYSIS

[FOLLOWING BEARS]

THEOREM: SUPPOSE THAT $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ IS A DOMAIN. SUPPOSE THAT $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ IS CONTINUOUS. THEN THE FOLLOWING ARE EQUIVALENT.

① f IS HOLOMORPHIC

② f INTEGRATES TO ZERO ABOUT TRIANGLES.

③ f HAS PRIMITIVES IN DISCS

④ f INTEGRATES TO ZERO ABOUT ^{CLOSED} CONTOURS IN DISCS

⑤ " " " " " ONE-BOUNDARIES

⑥ " " " " " REGIONS

(7) FOR ALL $z_0 \in U$, $R < R_0$, $w \in B = B(z_0, R)$

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B} \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dz$$

(8) f IS ANALYTIC AND, IN $B = B(z_0, R)$ [AS ABOVE]

$$f(z) = \sum_1 a_n (z-z_0)^n \quad \text{FOR} \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}} dz.$$

(4) ZEROS SUPPOSE $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ HOLOMORPHIC. $z_0 \in U$ IS A ZERO OF f IF $f(z_0) = 0$.

DEF: SAY f VANISHES IDENTICALLY IN U IF $f(z) = 0$ FOR ALL z IN U . IF NOT, SAY f IS NOT IDENTICALLY ZERO IN U .

DEF: SUPPOSE $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ HOLOMORPHIC. SUPPOSE $z_0 \in U$. SUPPOSE $f(z) = \sum_1 a_n (z-z_0)^n$ IS THE SERIES EXPANSION OF f AT z_0 .

IF ALL $a_n = 0$ SAY f VANISHES TO INFINITE ORDER AT z_0 .

IF NOT, SET $N = \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_n \neq 0 \}$ AND SAY f VANISHES TO ORDER N AT z_0 . WE ALSO WRITE $\text{ORD}(f, z_0) = N$ FOR THE "ORDER OF VANISHING".

NOTE z_0 IS A ZERO OF f IFF $N > 0$.

5) VANISHING :

LEMMA: SUPPOSE f VANISHES TO INF. ORDER AT z_0 .

THEN f VANISHES IDENTICALLY IN U .

PROOF: SUPPOSE $z_1 \in U$. FIX A PATH $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ WITH $\gamma(0) = z_0$, $\gamma(1) = z_1$.

EXERCISE: FIND POINTS $z_0 = w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k, \dots, w_N = z_1$ IN THE IMAGE OF γ SO THAT FOR ALL k

(i) $|w_{k+1} - w_k| < \epsilon$

(ii) $B(w_k, \epsilon) \subset U$.

LET $A_k = (a_{k,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ BE THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE SERIES EXPANSION OF f AT w_k . SO $A_0 \equiv 0$.

SUPPOSE $A_k \equiv 0$. THUS f VANISHES IDENTICALLY

IN $B(w_k, \epsilon)$. SO f VANISHES IDENTICALLY IN A

NEIGHBOURHOOD OF w_{k+1} . SO $f^{(n)}(w_{k+1}) = 0$ FOR

ALL n . SO $A_{k+1} \equiv 0$. BY INDUCTION $A_N \equiv 0$.

THUS $f(w_N) = f(z_1) = 0$.

□

PICTURE

